NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

1	NOTICE OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
2	TO: All Latinos and African Americans who are presently and in the future will be stopped
3	while driving by the California Highway Patrol ("CHP") and then detained, interrogated, or subjected to a search (either of person or vehicle) on any public thoroughfare within the jurisdiction of CHP's Central and Coastal Divisions. 1
1	
- 1	This Notice is to inform you about a proposed settlement of a lawsuit called <i>Curtis V. Rodriguez et al. v. California Highway Patrol, et al.</i> , Case Number C-99-20895-JF/HRL (Northern Distric Federal Court).
5	

A hearing regarding a proposed settlement of this class action will be held on June 2, 2003 at 11:00 a.m. before the Hon. Jeremy Fogel at the United States District Courthouse, Northern District Of California, San Jose Division, 280 S. First Street, Courtroom 3, 5th Floor, San Jose, CA 95113-3008. The hearing will be held to determine whether the proposed settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate.

If you are a member of the plaintiff class, you should read this notice carefully because it will affect your rights.

BACKGROUND OF THE CASE

A lawsuit was filed on June 3, 1999 in federal court in San Jose in response to claims by three individual motorists (two Latino and one African-American) that they had been stopped and searched on the basis of "racial profiling" by the California Highway Patrol in the Los Banos area proximate to the intersection of Interstate 5 and Highway 152. The lawsuit claimed that the California Highway Patrol engaged in a policy, pattern, and practice of targeting Latino and African-American motorists for traffic stops, detentions, interrogations and searches. Defendants have denied the claims made against them. The lawsuit was certified as a "class action" on behalf of all Latinos and African Americans who are presently and in the future will be stopped while driving by the California Highway Patrol ("CHP") and then detained, interrogated, or subjected to a search (either of person or vehicle) on any public thoroughfare within the jurisdiction of CHP's Central and Coastal Divisions.

Since the filing of the suit, the Court has preliminarily approved a settlement negotiated by the parties.

THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The parties have agreed to a settlement which provides, in summary, that:

Prohibition of Racial Profiling and Racial Discrimination: The CHP affirms that: "CHP officers shall not engage in racial profiling or racial discrimination of any kind. Racial profiling and racial discrimination of any kind are prohibited by the department and will not be tolerated."

CHP Policy Regarding Traffic Enforcement Stops: The CHP affirms that: "Officers shall not stop individuals for the primary purpose of drug interdiction unless they have probable cause or reasonable suspicion of drug-related activity. The officer must have specific and articulable facts to support his/her determination; a mere suspicion or 'hunch' is not sufficient. After a stop has

The Central and Coastal Divisions include the following major cities: *Central*: Bakersfield, Buttonwillow, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Modesto, Porterville, Sonora, Fort Tejon, Hanford, Mariposa, Los Banos, Visalia, and Coalinga. *Coastal*: Santa Cruz, Monterey, Templeton, Santa Maria, Santa Barbara, Hollister-Gilroy, King City, San Luis Obispo, Buelton, and Ventura.

28

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 2	been made, an officer shall not extend the stop beyond the time necessary to address the traffic violation unless an officer has reasonable suspicion or probably cause of criminal activity."
3	Extension of Consent Search Moratorium: On April 19, 2001, the CHP issued a six-month moratorium on consent searches, pending further review, study and evaluation. The moratorium
on consent searches has subsequently been extended through July 31, 2003. Und	on consent searches has subsequently been extended through July 31, 2003. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the CHP agrees to continue its moratorium on consent searches for
6	Data Collection, Documentation and Review: The CHP will collect and make available meaningful data regarding its contacts with the motoring public for a period of three years from
7 the date the Settlement Agreement goes into effect. This data will be in automat be made available to plaintiffs' counsel on a semi-annual basis. The CHP will p	the date the Settlement Agreement goes into effect. This data will be in automated form and will be made available to plaintiffs' counsel on a semi-annual basis. The CHP will provide data for
8 9	all Departmental Narcotic Detection Canine Program Officers and identify these officers by a page and identification number. The CHP will create a pay "racial profiling" category for
10	Training: The CHP will train every officer regarding the terms of the settlement agreement.
11	The CHP will train every officer in the racial profiling curriculum provided by the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards & Training (P.O.S.T.).
12	
13	Auditor: The CHP will create an auditor position within the CHP reporting directly to the CHP Commissioner. The Auditor will review data collected by the CHP to identify and analyze trends. The Auditor will ensure the quality and accuracy of the data collected. The Auditor will
14	provide appropriate input for training regarding data collection to CHP officers and supervisors.
15	Verification: Plaintiffs' counsel may call meetings with the CHP to discuss the CHP's efforts to implement the terms of the settlement agreement. Plaintiffs' counsel will have access to CHP
16 17	policy manuals and training materials. Plaintiffs' counsel will have access to the identity, qualifications and job description of the Internal Auditor. Plaintiffs' counsel will have access to
18	automated data regarding the CHP's contacts with the motoring public (ESTAT) every six months.
19	Settlement Payment: In full settlement of all of plaintiffs' claims, the CHP will pay \$875,000 as
20	attorneys' fees and costs. The class certified by the court has no damages claims. By separate agreement between the three individual motorist-plaintiffs and plaintiffs' attorneys, each
21	individual plaintiff will receive \$50,000 (or a total of \$150,000) from the settlement payment. The CHP is not party to this separate agreement and is making no payments to the individual
22	motorist-plaintiffs.
23	TO OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT:
24	Any class member who objects to the terms of the proposed settlement may appear personally or
25	through counsel at the hearing and object to their approval. All objections must be made in writing and sent by first class mail and received by the Clerk not later than May 27, 2003. The
26	envelope should be addressed as follows:
27	CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 280 South 1st Street
28 San Jose, CA 95113	San Jose, CA 95113

1	Re: <i>Rodriguez, et al. v. California Highway Patrol, et al.</i> Case No. C-99-20895-JF/HRL
2	IF YOU DO NOT OPPOSE THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT YOU NEED NOT APPEAR.
3	FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:
4	This is a summary. If you want a copy of the full agreement, contact:
5	
6	Alan Schlosser ACLU Foundation of Northern California
7	1663 Mission Street, Suite 460 San Francisco, CA 94103
8	(415) 621-2493
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	