



June 4, 2024

The Honorable Phil Ting
 1021 O Street, Suite 5220
 Sacramento, California 95814

Re: AB 1814 – as amended 5/6/2024
OPPOSE

Dear Assemblymember Ting:

We are 55 civil rights, racial justice, reproductive justice, LGBTQI, immigrants’ rights, and privacy organizations writing to express our opposition to AB 1814. In a time where rights and

safety are already under attack and we face potentially even more threats on the horizon, California needs laws that ensure that any growth of dangerous surveillance infrastructure is prevented, not further entrenched.

Face Recognition is Dangerous Surveillance Technology

Face recognition use by law enforcement is among the most invasive surveillance technology that exists. It is already well-understood how this dangerous surveillance technology has been improperly used to wrongfully accuse people of crimes, target immigrants, intimidate activists, and can also threaten the safety of people seeking reproductive rights and gender-affirming care.

Face recognition supercharges the government's power to surveil people of color and other marginalized groups and threatens our rights to privacy and free expression. We cannot freely organize, seek reproductive health care, or attend a place of worship if we fear that our faces, who we are, where we go, and what we do can be recorded by the police.

The fact that face recognition is simply too dangerous and corrosive to our rights and safety to be used by law enforcement is why companies like Amazon, Microsoft, and IBM do not sell it to police. It is why 20 U.S. communities across the country have already banned the government's use of face recognition technology. It is why progressive leadership in the United States Congress recently introduced a bill that would prohibit government use of facial recognition and also conditions funding to localities on adopting similar prohibitions. The only responsible standard for face recognition is to prohibit its use by governments. Prohibitions on government use of facial recognition protect our civil rights, reduce dangerous encounters and wrongful detentions, and impede the creation of dangerous biometric databases that would further threaten already vulnerable communities in California.

AB 1814 Does Not Protect Rights and Safety and Places California Communities in Danger

Rather than ensuring that face surveillance cannot be used to threaten the lives, rights, and safety of Californians, AB 1814 proposes a woefully inadequate band-aid that emboldens police to expand dangerous face recognition and just writes into law the same failed rules that have already played a role in the wrongful arrests of innocent people—particularly Black men. If AB 1814 is passed, it would effectively greenlight a surveillance technology that is racist, unreliable, and anti-democratic.

Law enforcement use of face surveillance is a direct threat to the lives of diverse community members and civil rights. With regularity, we are learning stories about Black victims of this surveillance technology, like Robert Williams, wrongfully arrested in his driveway with his wife and daughter watching, and Porcha Woodruff, wrongfully arrested while nine months pregnant. Both were jailed because police misused face recognition and ignored warnings like those mandated by AB 1814—evidence enough that this bill will not prevent egregious mistakes. By allowing police to scan and identify people without limitation, AB 1814 will also increase unnecessary police interactions that too often have the potential to escalate into fatal encounters. This will remain true regardless of how accurate face recognition technology becomes. AB 1814 does nothing to prevent widespread use of face surveillance and creates an environment for its expansion to target people

and further magnify the bias and over-policing that disproportionately harms communities of color, immigrants, and other minority groups.

The face surveillance systems and reference photograph databases sanctioned by AB 1814 will also pose a serious risk to abortion seekers, immigrants, and LGBTQI people. The national attacks on abortion rights, bodily autonomy, and trans people demand that California provide a haven for those seeking reproductive or gender-affirming care. Instead, AB 1814 lacks any restriction on the creation and maintenance of biometric databases that agencies in other states may seek to access to take enforcement action against immigrants, LGBTQI people, or people seeking abortions. Federal immigration agencies have already been caught tapping into and demanding access to facial recognition databases in other states. The only way to truly protect people from these increased risks is not to allow dangerous surveillance infrastructure to expand.

AB 1814 Will Not Protect People

Rather than recognizing and addressing the widely understood harms of face surveillance, AB 1814 does nothing to prevent law enforcement from using face surveillance to identify and track people across the state. Further, even the limited restriction this bill imposes to not use face recognition as the sole basis for probable cause is itself unworkable and difficult to enforce. There is no way for people to find out if facial recognition is used against them and no mechanism to make sure the police comply with the law.

In a time where our rights are under attack, we expect and want our California lawmakers to pass laws that truly support and advance civil rights, racial justice, LGBTQI rights, reproductive rights, and immigrants' rights.

We expect and want our lawmakers to pass laws that live up to the promise of our fundamental constitutional rights to privacy and free expression—laws that actually protect us by stopping new surveillance systems in their tracks, rather than wrongly claiming to control face surveillance with weak language that has already failed to protect people.

AB 1814 invites foreseeable harm, particularly for already vulnerable community members, and further greases the wheels for greater and even more dangerous surveillance.

We are 55 civil rights, racial justice, immigrants' rights, reproductive justice, LGBTQI, and privacy organizations working with community members, and we oppose AB 1814.

Sincerely,



Carmen-Nicole Cox
Director of Government Affairs
ACLU California Action



Xochitl Lopez-Ayala
Policy Advocacy Coordinator
ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE



Sheila Bates
Policy Team Lead
Black Lives Matter California



Robert Contreras
President & CEO
Bienestar Human Services



Richard Konda
Executive Director
Asian Law Alliance



Brian Hofer
Executive Director
Secure Justice



Irene Kao
Executive Director
Courage California



Myra Durán
Senior Policy Advocate
If/When/How: Lawyering for Reproductive
Justice



Isabel Storey
VP, Legislation
Santa Monica Democratic Club



Hayley Tsukayama
Associate Director of Legislative Activism
Electronic Frontier Foundation



Katie Zeitz
Organizer
Team Justice San Diego

Cecilia Chavez

Cecilia Chavez
Organizer
Silicon Valley De-Bug




Dennessa Atilas
Organizer
Indivisible CA: StateStrong



Robert Herrell
Executive Director
Consumer Federation of California

Lotus Lain

Lotus Lain
Industry Advocate
Free Speech Coalition



Flower Alvarez-Lopez
Co-Director
Universidad Popular



Samantha Gordon
Chief Program Officer
TechEquity Action



Bulmaro Vicente
Policy & Political Director
Chispa



Raquel Derfler
Chair
Cancel the Contract AV



Soma Snakeoil
Executive Director
The Sidewalk Project



Amira Hasenbush
Founder, Attorney at Law
All Family Legal, PC



Israel Salazar Villa
Deputy Director
California Alliance for Youth and
Community Justice



Brandon Greene
Director of Policy Advocacy
Western Center on Law and Poverty



Shayla Wilson
Policy & Advocacy Advisor
La Defensa



David Kilburn
Executive Director
Access Support Network



Caitlin Seeley George
Campaigns & Managing Director
Fight for the Future




Lauren Ornelas
Founder/General Programs Collective
Member
Food Empowerment Project



Jessica Kemper-Lytle
California State Director
American Atheists



Flor Hunt
Executive Director
TEACH (Training in Early Abortion for
Comprehensive Healthcare)



Hamid Khan
Coordinator
Stop LAPD Spying Coalition



Lilly Irani
Member Executive Board
San Diego Faculty Association



Emory Roane
Associate Director of Policy
Privacy Rights Clearinghouse



Jacob Van Dehy
Organizer
Tech Workers Coalition San Diego

Alexandria Pierce
Member & Liaison Between CCRF &
SFBJUC
San Francisco Black & Jewish Unity
Coalition

Ivan Hernandez
Director of Movement Building
Gente Organizada

Tama Becker-Varano
Lead Organizer
Change Begins With ME (Indivisible)

Constance Penley
President
Council of University of California Faculty
Associations (CUCFA)

Lon Chhay
Director; (A.I.R.)
Asian Solidarity Collective

Felicity Figueroa
Chair
Orange County Equality Coalition

Jeramie D. Scott
Senior Counsel
Electronic Privacy Information Center
(EPIC)

Chip Pitts
Executive Committee
Organization of Identity and Cultural
Development
Chair
Advocacy for Principled Action in
Government

Judith Cabrera
Co-Director
Border Line Crisis Center

Leena Sabagh
Justice Reinvestment, Associate Manager
Council on American-Islamic Relations,
California (CAIR-CA)

Erin Tsurumoto Grassi
Associate Director
Alliance San Diego

Aaron Bornstein
Treasurer
UC Irvine Faculty Association

Erik Garcia
Organizing & Program Director
ORALE: Organizing Rooted in Abolition,
Liberation, and Empowerment

David Siffert
Legal Director
Surveillance Technology Oversight Project



Bruno Huizar
Policy Manager
California Immigrant Policy Center



Steve Murphy
Co-Chair
Indivisible Yolo




Shreya Sampath
Director of Chapter Projects
Encode Justice



Patricia Morton
Vice Chair
University of California Riverside Faculty
Association



Lawrence Baskett
Governance Committee Member
Indivisible East Bay



Joseph Williams
Director
Students Deserve



Jamina Hackett
Director of Capacity Building and
Community Mobilization (CA)
National Harm Reduction Coalition

CC: Members and Committee Staff, Senate Public Safety Committee